

# MARINE AND WATER DISASTERS – CASE STUDY

## STUDY 1/A – NORMAL SYSTEM

### Collision of two ships on the high seas

*(simultaneous treatment of surface oil + water column + sediment – without the use of Exoline)*

#### 1. Starting position and objective of intervention

In the event of a ship-to-ship collision on the high seas, especially when tankers or oil tankers are involved, **a large oil leak** can occur in a short period of time.



#### The management of such events is aggravated by several factors:

- **Open water environment**, strong waves, wind and sea currents that spread pollution quickly and uncontrollably.
- **Large amounts of oil spilling** out of the water that simultaneously affect the surface, the water column and marine sediment.
- **Remote location** that limits the possibilities for rapid mechanical and aerial intervention.
- **High environmental sensitivity**, in particular for marine life (fish stocks, plankton, seabirds) and potentially affected coastal ecosystems.

The main objective of the intervention in such cases is the **simultaneous treatment of the surface oil film, oil fractions dispersed in the water column and sediment**, in accordance with international environmental and shipping regulations, with particular regard to:

- **IMO MARPOL Annex I** (International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships).
- **OPA 90 – Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (USA)**.
- As well as regional sea conventions (e.g. OSPAR, HELCOM – depending on the region).

#### 2. Historical reference cases (industry benchmarks)

Well-documented examples of oil spills resulting from collisions on the high seas point to the limitations of traditional intervention methods.

##### Exxon Valdez (1989, Alaska)

- Amount of oil released: ~37,000 tons of crude oil.
- Despite surface removal, a significant portion of the oil has settled in coastal and marine sediments.
- PAH contamination was still detectable more than 20 years later.
- Significant and sustained biodiversity loss.

##### Prestige tanker (2002, Atlantic, Spain)

- Oil spilled: ~63,000 tons of heavy bunker oil.
- Multiphase pollution: surface, water column and coastal sediment.
- Long-term post-cleaning lasting for years.
- Significant economic and ecological damage.

*(Source references: Marine Insight, ITOPI, NOAA incident reports)*

These cases have proven at the industry level that interventions with a purely surface focus **are not able to ensure complete environmental safety**.

### 3. The nature of the pollution in the event of a collision on the high seas

Oil spills from a ship collision **are complex, multi-layered and time-varying** with the following characteristics:

- **Surface oil film (slick)**
  - Rapid propagation depending on wind and current.
  - Its thickness and viscosity are constantly changing.
- **Oil fractions dispersed in the water column**
  - In the form of micro and macro droplets (plume formation).
  - Difficult to handle with mechanical devices.
- **Sediment contamination**
  - Sedimentation of heavier fractions on the seabed
  - Chronic toxicity (PAHs, aromatic hydrocarbons)
  - Long-term ecological effects.

The contaminant is typically **crude oil or heavy bunker oil**, which **emulsifies over time**, significantly reducing the effectiveness of traditional treatment methods.

### 4. Traditional intervention methods

#### 4.1 Localization

- Application of floating oil barrier barriers (booms)
- Oil diverting chemicals (herders)

#### Limitations:

- 40-60% reduction in efficiency in the event of ripples and currents
- Ineffective for deep-water plumes
- Installation time up to 24-48 hours

#### 4.2 Surface removal

- Mechanical skimmers (weir, oleophile types)
- Application of absorbents

#### Commonly used absorbents:

- Perlite
- Zeolite
- Vermiculite
- Polypropylene (PP) based materials

#### Limitations:

- Reduced efficiency due to water absorption
- Risk of back-release
- Significant waste generation (up to 5-10× compared to the weight of oil)

#### 4.3 Additional techniques

- Spraying Dispersants
- In-situ burning
- Bioremediation

#### Limitations:

- Dispersants can increase aquatic toxicity
- Incineration results in PAH emissions
- Bioremediation in a cold marine environment is slow

### 5. Operational challenges

- Delayed intervention in remote locations
- Difficulty in coordinating multiple actors
- Logistical problems due to weather
- High costs (average cost of a maritime collision: €10-50 million)

## 6. Result – with a conventional system

- **Partial treatment** (50–70% effectiveness)
- **Long-term post-cleaning**, often for years
- **High waste costs**, up to 40% of total cost
- **Permanent ecological damage**, biodiversity loss by 30–50%

### Final Note (Status without Exoline)

Traditional intervention systems **primarily offer reactive, surface-focused solutions** that can manage some of the pollution, but **do not provide a complete, long-term environmental condition**, especially at the level of the water column and sediment.

## STUDY 1/B – EXOLINE® OIL STOP

### Collision of two ships on the high seas

*(simultaneous treatment of surface oil + water column + sediment – using Exoline® Oil Stop)*

#### 1. Starting position and objective of intervention

In the event of a collision with a pelagic vessel, especially tankers or oil tankers, **a large-scale, multi-phase oil spill** can occur in a short period of time.

**The purpose of using Exoline® Oil Stop in such an environment is not only to remove the surface oil, but also:**

- Immediate immobilization **of pollution**.
- Prevent further migration.
- Creating an **environmentally safe, controlled state** that allows for delayed, low-risk progress.

**The system is applied in accordance with international regulations, in particular:**

- **IMO MARPOL Annex I.**
- **OPA 90 – Oil Pollution Act of 1990.**
- As well as regional marine environmental conventions.

**Exoline® Oil Stop** does not replace the mechanical interventions prescribed by the authorities, but **complements and stabilizes them at the system level.**

#### 2. References and lessons learned (industry benchmark)

One of the main lessons learned from previous large-scale maritime accidents (Exxon Valdez, Prestige) is that:

- Surface interventions **did not prevent** water column and sediment pollution,
- Some of the oil **remained in the ecosystem** in a chronic, difficult-to-treat form.

The **application philosophy of Exoline® Oil Stop** is based on these experiences and **treats pollution** not by dispersing, **but by stabilization.**

*(Reference background: NOAA, ITOPF, Marine Insight case study analyses)*

#### 3. Nature of contamination when using Exoline® Oil Stop

Oil spills from a ship collision are still **multi-layered**, however, after the **intervention of Exoline®**

**Oil Stop, the physico-chemical state changes:**

- **Surface Oil Film**
  - **Exoline® Oil Stop** creates an adsorption bond with the oil phase
  - The oil **loses its fluidity**, goes into a paste-like, low-mobility state
- **Oil fractions present in the water column** ◦ Hydrophobic adsorption reduces the risk of further diszpergálódást
  - The oil does not become mobile again **in the form of micro- and macro-droplets**
- **Sediment contamination**
  - Oil migration slows down or stops significantly
  - The pollution **is stabilized locally**, reducing the risk of chronic toxicity

The system **does not emulsify**, dissolve the oil back into water, and does not create a secondary contamination phase.

#### 4. Methods of intervention using Exoline® Oil Stop

##### 4.1 Localization and primary stabilization

- **Exoline® Oil Stop can** be used independently or **as a system combined** with conventional absorbents (e.g. perlite, zeolite)
- A hydrophobic stabilization zone **is created** on the surface

##### Advantages:

- The impact of ripple and flow is significantly reduced
- Spread slows down or stops quickly
- Localization does not rely solely on mechanical means

##### 4.2 Surface Removal and Handling

- The bound oil **does not flow back**, does not saturate with water
- The resulting paste-like state **can be mechanically managed**, pumped or collected

##### Result:

- Water absorption of absorbents is significantly reduced
- The amount of waste is significantly lower compared to traditional methods
- Minimal risk of backlash

##### 4.3 The role of complementary technologies

##### In addition to using Exoline® Oil Stop:

- No aggressive dispersants are required.
- The need for in-situ burning is reduced.
- Biological and oxidative degradation **can continue in** a controlled microenvironment.

The system **does not hinder the natural decomposition processes**, but provides a stable basis for them.

#### 5. Operational challenges with Exoline®

- Can be used in remote locations with easy application
- Fewer time-critical mechanical steps
- Better fit in a multi-actor (authority – operator – remediation) environment
- Intervention **does not force immediate permanent removal**

#### 6. Result – Exoline® with Oil Stop System

- **Rapid physical control** over pollution
- **Significantly reduced migration** in surface, water column and sediment
- **Lower waste**
- **Shorter post-cleaning time**
- **Reduced ecological risk**, especially in the long term

The contamination **is brought to an environmentally safe, stable state** that allows for delayed, optimized removal or disposal.

##### Final Note – Using Exoline®

The use of **Exoline® Oil Stop** is not another absorbent, but a technological change of approach: the goal is not to "disappear" immediately, but to control, stabilize and minimize risk.

This approach makes the system particularly suitable for dealing with complex, large-scale maritime disasters, where traditional methods alone do not ensure long-term environmental security.

## CASE STUDY 1/B – Exoline® Oil Stop

Collision between two vessels in open sea  
(simultaneous treatment of surface oil + water column +  
sediment contamination)

### Starting Situation & Intervention Goals

- Open sea vessel collision (particularly if tankers are involved)
- Purpose of Exoline® Oil Stop:
  1. Immediate immobilization of contamination
  2. Preventing further migration of spilled oil
  3. Creating a sustainable, controlled state for delayed but safer removal

### International Compliance

- IMO MARPOL Annex 1
- OPA 90 – Oil Pollution Act of 1990,
- Regional marine environmental conventions

### Simultaneous Treatment Areas

#### • Immobilizing Surface Oil

- Forming adsorption bond immobilizing the spill
- Preventing further spread of oil
- Eco-safe

**Technology shift; The Exoline® Oil Stop** system stabilizes and *controls* the contamination instead of merely et.

#### Surface Oil Immobilized

##### Exoline® Oil Immobilized

- Hydrophobic adsorption halts dispersion
- Micro & macro oil droplets stabilized in situ
- Non-toxic

#### Stabilized Oil Droplets

##### Stabilized Oil in Water Column

- Hydrophobic adsorption halts dispersion
- Micro & macro oil droplets stabilized in situ
- Non-toxic

#### Contaminant Immobilized

##### Containing Sediment Contamination

- Oil bound to sediment particles
- Significant slowdown of oil migration
- Reduced chronic toxicity risk